



MASSEY UNIVERSITY
COLLEGE OF BUSINESS
KAUPAPA WHAI PAKIHI



MASSEY UNIVERSITY
SCHOOL OF ECONOMICS AND FINANCE

DISCUSSION PAPER: 11.10
DECEMBER 2011

IONA MCCARTHY AND HATICE OZER BALLI

WINDFARMS AND RESIDENTIAL PROPERTY VALUES

This series contains work in progress at the **School of Economics and Finance, Massey University**.
Comments and criticism are invited. Quotations may be made on explicit permission of the author(s).

The Secretary
School of Economics and Finance
Massey University
Private Bag 11222
Palmerston North 4442
NEW ZEALAND
Phone: 06 356 9099 Extn 7744
Fax: 06 350 5660

Discussion Paper 11.10

ISSN 1179-0474 (Online)

Windfarms and Residential Property Values

Iona McCarthy^{a*} and Hatice Ozer Balli^a

^aSchool of Economics and Finance

Massey University

Palmerston North

New Zealand

Abstract

This study examines the effect that windfarm visibility has on residential property values using a hedonic regression model. The study area is Ashhurst, New Zealand, a township of approximately 900 dwellings. Ashhurst is located within eight kilometres of two separate windfarms that were developed between 1998 and 2007 comprising 103x660kW turbines, 31x3MW turbines, and 55x1.65MW turbines. The analysis uses the 945 open market house sales that occurred in Ashhurst between 1995 and 2008. Visual impact of turbines is studied as a variable in the model. Impact is assessed using GIS viewshed analysis and by field inspection. The hedonic models had satisfactory explanatory performance and in each case indicated that the turbines located between 2.5 and 6 kilometres from the township of Ashhurst had no significant impact on property value.

Keywords: Wind turbine, property sale price, viewshed, hedonic regression, GIS

JEL Classification: R3, D4

* Corresponding Author:

Email: I.A.McCarthy@massey.ac.nz; Telephone: +64 6 3569099 Ext.7472; Fax +64 6 3505651

1. INTRODUCTION

In response to growing pressure for sustainable energy production in New Zealand, seventeen wind farms have been established since the late 1990s and twenty more are proposed. Objection to the development of windfarms is increasing, as concern grows that the visual impact of wind turbines will have a detrimental effect on property values. There is very little empirical evidence on the impact of windfarm development on property values and results are inconclusive.

Sterzinger, et al (2003) conducted the widely cited quantitative study prepared for the Renewable Energy Policy Program (REPP). Statistical analysis was used to compare changes in property values, in the viewshed of several windfarms and in control localities, in the United States. Results were consistent between three different methods of analysis used, and the study concluded that there is no support for the claim that wind development will harm property value. There are limitations with the REPP study, which weaken the results, and the Energy Centre of Wisconsin (2004) attempted to complete a quantitative study improving on the methodology of the REPP Report. However, preliminary analysis indicated that there was insufficient bona fide sales data to provide statistically significant results. Hoen (2006) analysed 280 bona fide residential property sales using a hedonic regression model and concluded that in the community studied, there was no statistically significant relationship between sale price of residential property and view of, or distance to, the windfarm. Sims et al (2008) used hedonic regression analysis to investigate the impact of a 16 turbine wind farm in Cornwall, U.K. on proximate house prices. They analysed 199 residential sales that had transacted between 2000 and 2007 since the construction of the wind farm. They used geographic information system (GIS) and site visits to gather data on distance to turbines and turbine visibility from the front and rear of the house for each sale. Locational view characteristics (vista) for each sale were also included in the analysis. They found no relationship between the number of wind turbines visible and property value.

Hoen et al (2010) have conducted the most comprehensive study to date based on almost 7,500 house sales within 16 kilometers of 24 existing wind farms in nine different states in the US. They developed four different hedonic pricing models to investigate the potential impact on house values of an area stigma, a scenic vista stigma and a nuisance stigma. Extensive field work was undertaken to ensure accurate view variables, with categories assessed for both turbine view and vista not considering the turbines. They concluded that neither view of the turbines nor the distance of the home to the turbine has a significant effect on house sale price.

The other type of impact study that has been conducted has involved surveying local residents, realtors or appraisers to determine how they believe a proposed windfarm development might impact on property values. Haughton's survey of homeowners and realtors in the Cape Cod area was conducted prior to windfarm development (Haughton et al, 2004). Results showed homeowners estimated an average loss in property value of 4% with wind turbines in the landscape. Khatri (2004) surveyed Chartered Surveyors in Great Britain to gauge professional property opinion on the impact of windfarms on property values. This study noted that the number of surveyors who deal with transactions affected by windfarms is

low but among those with experience (approximately 80 respondents) their survey suggested that wind farm development reduced property values to some extent, the negative impact starts from the planning stage and appears to decline over time.

Regression analysis is accepted as the most rigorous method of assessing the impact of external factors on property values. It has been widely used to investigate externalities such as 1) the effects of proximity to high voltage electric transmission lines (Colwell, 1990; Hamilton & Schwann, 1995; Des-Rosiers, 2002), landfills (Des-Rosiers, 2002; Nelson et al, 1992), and airports (Nelson, 2004) and 2) the impact of view (Benson et al, 2000; Bond et al, 2002). The main limitation in applying this method to windfarm impact has been the low volume of sales within the viewshed of established windfarms, as these developments generally occur in rural areas. This study provides the opportunity to investigate the initial impact of windfarm development on residential property value, as developments are located close to a residential area.

2. STUDY AREA

This study is based on the 945 residential houses that sold in Ashhurst between 1995 and 2008. Ashhurst is a 900 household township located 15 kilometres north east of Palmerston North city. It is a rural township with all essential services and amenities including a primary school, local shops and a community centre. Ashhurst has a largely uniform medium cost housing stock. House prices on average over the last ten years have been around 25 percent less than comparable medium cost housing areas in Palmerston North. Ashhurst lies on the river plains at the foot of the Ruahine Ranges close to the gorge that separates the Tararua and Ruahine ranges. These ranges provide an ideal site for windfarm development due to the positive funnelling effect that the Manawatu Gorge has on wind speed, and there are operational windfarms on the ranges on both sides of the Manawatu Gorge.

The Tararua windfarm was developed in three stages; stage one was constructed during 1998, stage two during 2000, and stage three during 2007. It is located on the southern side of the Manawatu Gorge, 4.5 to 6 kilometres from Ashhurst. The Tararua windfarm has a total installed capacity of 161MW and comprises 103 Vestas V47-660kW turbines (tower height of 50 metres and rotor diameter of 47 metres) and 31 Vestas V90 3 MW turbines (tower height of 65 metres and rotor diameter of 90 metres).

The Te Apiti windfarm was developed over 2004 and is located on the northern side of the Manawatu Gorge, 2.5 to 3.5 kilometres from Ashhurst. Te Apiti comprises 55 NEG Micon NM72 1.65MW turbines, a total installed capacity of 90MW. The turbines have a tower height of 70 metres and a rotor diameter of 72 metres.

3. METHODOLOGY

The price that a house sells for will depend on its unique combination of physical, location and environmental characteristics. Hedonic regression analysis is a widely used and accepted method of assessing the marginal contribution of individual characteristics to value and generally takes the form:

$$\text{Price} = f(\text{Physical characteristics, other factors})$$

Sirmans et al (2005) reviewed recent studies that used hedonic modelling to estimate house prices and identified the variables that were consistently used in explaining price. The significant variables identified by Sirmans were considered in relation to the New Zealand housing market in order to include the most relevant variables in this study.

3.1. Data and Variables

Sales data was sourced from Headway Systems Ltd ValBiz™ sales database. Sales of residential houses in Ashhurst from 1 January 1995 to November 2008 were extracted from the ValBiz™ database. Residential sales data for the Palmerston North suburb of Milson was also extracted for use as a control neighbourhood. Erroneous data was identified and removed including; non-market sales, duplicate sales, transactions with a sale price less than \$10,000 and properties with land area greater than one hectare. This produced a database of 945 Ashhurst and 568 Milson sales. Sale details, house construction and location information was extracted for each sale. To further develop the database it was necessary to add variables relating to the turbines.

3.2. Turbine Visibility and Viewshed Analysis

Correct definition of the viewshed is critical to the study. Both Sterzinger et al (2003) and Hoen (2006) have used a radius of 8 kilometres (5miles) from the windfarm as the outer limit of the viewshed. Sterzinger's reason for using this distance is based on review of studies of line of sight and interviews with industry experts. This 8 kilometre radius is adopted as an accepted standard in this study. Turbine visibility and distance to turbines were considered. The township is compact (approximate width of one kilometre) and lies below the Te Apiti turbines some 2.5 kilometres from the closest turbine. The closest Tararua turbines are approximately 4.5 kilometres from the southern end of Ashhurst. The differences in distance of the two windfarms from Ashhurst provide a means of identifying the impact of distance on property value.

The visibility of turbines within the 8 kilometre radius is of importance and was initially calculated using geographic information system (GIS). Twenty metre digital elevation model (DEM) data was used. Ground cover was not factored into the model. The residential township within the viewshed is an urban area with established gardens around most homes. Outside the township the landscape is mostly pastoral. Ashhurst is at an elevation of 80 metres above sea level and ground elevations of the turbines range from 239 to 523 meters for the Tararua windfarm and from 240 to 410 metres for the Te Apiti windfarm.

Viewsheds were calculated using Idrisi Andes VIEWSHED analysis¹ (Clark Labs 2006, Worcester, USA). Separate viewsheds were calculated for each set of turbines, this was done to take into account the varying heights of the different sets of turbine towers. The individual viewsheds were combined to create four stages of windfarm development². Visibility was defined as a clear line of sight from each point within the viewshed to the top of each turbine tower with 100 percent visibility being clear line of sight to the top of all turbine towers constructed at any stage.

Table 1 shows results of the GIS viewshed analysis; the figures indicate the maximum and minimum percentages and numbers of turbines visible from Ashhurst on completion of two stages of the Tararua windfarm and the Te Apiti windfarm developments.

Table 1: Turbine visibility from Ashhurst

	Percentage visible turbines	Number of turbines visible
Tararua Stage I (1999 Q1)	44-95%	21 to 45 50m turbines
Tararua Stage II (2004 Q2)	60-90%	62 to 92 50m turbines
Te Apiti (2004 Q4)	51-80%	62 to 92 50m turbines and 18 to 34 70m turbines

Visibility of the turbines was also investigated by field inspection to assess accuracy of viewshed results. The numbers of turbines predicted to be visible were confirmed as visible on field inspection only when trees and other buildings did not obstruct the view. To ensure accuracy of the turbine visibility data used in the hedonic analysis all houses in the Ashhurst dataset were inspected and the number of Te Apiti turbines visible from the front of each property counted. A turbine was defined as visible when the top of the tower could be seen.

3.3. Variable Definitions

The basic model is represented as:

$$\text{Price} = f(\text{year of sale, time of year, land area, floor area, age of dwelling, exterior cladding, visible turbines})$$

Where

Price (natural log form of nominal total sale price, NZ\$) - Various adjustments were trialled to determine the appropriate form for the dependant variable. Sale price was adjusted to June 1995 dollars by the Quotable Value Price Index for Palmerston North residential and also by the consumer price index. These adjustments were found to be inappropriate so dummy variables were constructed to represent year of sale (Sims, 2008).

Land Area - The total land area expressed in square metres.

¹ VIEWSHED determines all cells visible from one or more viewpoint cells situated on a surface. Output is a new image in which viewpoint cells are assigned a value equal to the proportion of viewpoint cells from which the viewshed cell is visible.

² Stage 1 – Stage 1 Tararua (complete), Stage 2 – Stage 2 Tararua (complete), Stage 3 – Te Apiti (complete), Stage 4 – Stage 3 Tararua (approved but not constructed)

Floor Area and floor area squared - The total floor area of the house expressed in square metres and rounded to the nearest ten square metres. Floor area squared was also generated.

Exterior Cladding - The houses were grouped into five categories; Brick, fibrolite, roughcast, weatherboard, mixed and other.

Age of Dwelling and age squared - The sales database provides the decade in which the house was constructed. This was converted to an age factor rounded to the nearest 10 years. Age squared was also generated.

Year of Sale - The year in which the sale occurred.

Time of Year – The quarter in which the sale occurred and a dummy variable for a summer sale.

Wind Turbine Related Variable - Four alternative variables were tested; a binary sample of visibility at time of sale (visible/not visible) derived from field inspection, the number of Te Apiti turbines predicted as visible by geographic information systems modelling, the number of Te Apiti turbines recorded as visible on field inspection and the number of Tararua turbines predicted as visible by geographic information systems modelling.

4. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Sales data was analysed using ordinary least squares technique. Various models were developed covering two different time periods and using four different forms of turbine visibility variable to get robust results. Regression results are summarised in Table 2 in the appendix.

The first analysis covered the Ashhurst market after construction of the Te Apiti wind farm in 2005. This time period (2005 to 2008) provided a dataset of 315 sales. The natural log of sale price was used as the dependant variable and all dwelling variables, time and quarter dummies for seasonality, a dummy for summer sales, and a turbine variable were included as independent variables. Four forms of turbine visibility were tested; firstly a dummy for Te Apiti turbine visibility derived from field inspection, secondly the number of Te Apiti turbines predicted as visible by geographic information systems modelling, thirdly the number of Te Apiti turbines recorded as visible on field inspection and finally the number of Tararua turbines predicted as visible by geographic information systems modelling. The time dummy variables for 2006 to 2008 are positively significant compared to sales completed in 2005 and show the expected yearly inflation of house prices and the market correction in 2008. Floor area and land area are both positively significant and age is negatively significant at 0.01 level. The number of turbines visible from the property is positive with the dummy and field count variables and negative with the GIS generated variables but is not significant in predicting house sale price in any form.

The second model covered the Ashhurst market from 1995, nine years prior to the development of the Te Apiti wind farm and three years prior to development of the first stage of the Tararua wind farm, until 2008. This time period provided a dataset of 945 sales. The natural log of sale price was used as the dependant variable and all dwelling variables, time and quarter dummies for seasonality, a dummy for summer sales, and a turbine visibility

variable were included as independent variables. The four forms of turbine visibility were tested. The time dummy variables from 2003 to 2008 are positively significant compared to sales completed in 1995 and show the expected yearly inflation of house prices and the market correction in 2008. Floor area and land area are both positively significant and age is negatively significant at 0.01 level. The Te Apiti turbine visibility variables are all positive and only Teapiti from GIS variable is significant at 0.10 level. However the Tararua turbine visibility variable is negatively significant at 0.10 level.

The third model covered the time period from 2005 to 2008, after construction of the Te Apiti wind farm, and included the Palmerston North suburb of Milson as a control locality, a dataset of 883 sales. The natural log of sale price was used as the dependant variable and an Ashhurst dummy variable, all dwelling variables, time and quarter dummies for seasonality, a dummy for summer sales, and a turbine variable were included as independent variables. The visible turbine dummy variable is perfectly correlated with the Ashhurst dummy variable and was not used in this analysis. The other three forms of turbine visibility were tested. The Ashhurst dummy variable is negative showing the expected difference in house prices between Ashhurst and Milson. The time dummy variables from 2006 to 2008 are positively significant compared to sales completed in 2005 and show the expected yearly inflation of house prices and the market correction in 2008. Floor area and land area are both positively significant and age is negatively significant at 0.01 level. The number of turbines visible from the property is positive with the Te Apiti field count variable and negative with the GIS generated variables but is not significant in predicting house sale price in any form.

The hedonic pricing models developed have reasonable explanatory performance having an R^2 of greater than 61%. The models indicate that the presence of the Te Apiti turbines (turbines with a tower height of 70 metres and a rotor diameter of 72 metres) have not had a significant impact on sale price of a residential property that is within 3.5 kilometres but further than 2.5 kilometres from the nearest turbine and that the presence of the Tararua turbines (turbines with a tower height of 50 metres and a rotor diameter of 47 metres) have not had a significant impact on sale price of a residential property that is within 4.5 to 6 kilometres of the nearest turbine.

5. CONCLUSIONS

Construction of hedonic pricing models is an accepted method of investigating the impact of windfarm development on sale price of residential property. The township of Ashhurst, New Zealand provides a suitable study area with sufficient bona fide residential sales data to provide statistically significant results. GIS viewshed analysis using 20m DEM data was only useful as a preliminary guide to turbine visibility. Field inspection is necessary to record accurate impact of turbine visibility on each individual property.

The regression models developed in this study had satisfactory explanatory performance with R^2 of 61% to 82%. The results indicate that the Tararua and Te Apiti turbines had no

significant impact on sale price of Ashhurst residential property. These findings are consistent with other studies (Hoen 2006; Hoen et. al 2010; Sims et. al 2008).

The results of this study are specific to the study area and care should be taken when transferring these findings to other situations. The results provide an initial estimate of the impact of windfarm development on house prices. Further development of the hedonic models is recommended. More detailed analysis to investigate the possible impact of area stigma since announcement of construction of the wind farms would be beneficial. Consideration should also be given to the view variables employed in this study. Turbine visibility from the road front was used but no account was taken of the orientation to the wind farm (Sims, 2008) and other vista variables were not included (Sims, 2008; Hoen et al, 2010).

REFERENCES

- Benson, E.D., Hansen, J.L. and Schwartz Jnr, A.L. (2000). Water Views and Residential Property Values. *The Appraisal Journal*, 260-271.
- Bond, M.T., Seiler, V.L. and Seiler, M.J. (2002). Residential Real Estate Prices: A Room with a View. *Journal of Real Estate Research*, 23, 129-138.
- Colwell, P.F. (1990). Power Lines and Land Value. *The Journal of Real Estate Research*. 5(1), 117-128.
- Des-Rosiers, F. (2002). Power Lines, Visual Encumbrances and House Values: A Micro Spatial Approach to Impact Measurement. *Journal of Real Estate Research*, 23(3), 275-301.
- Energy Centre of Wisconsin (2004). *A Study of Wind Energy Development in Wisconsin*. A Report prepared for State of Wisconsin, Department of Administration, Division of Energy. Retrieved 8 August 2006 from the World Wide Web.
<http://72.36.212.11/prod/231-1.pdf>
- Hamilton, S.W. and Schwann, G.M. (1995). Do High Voltage Lines Affect Property Value? *Land Economics*, 71(4), 436-444.
- Haughton, J. Giuffre, D. et al. (2004). *Free But Costly: An Economic Analysis of a Wind Farm in Nantucket Sound*. Beacon Hill Institute at Suffolk University, Boston MA. Retrieved 8 August 2006 from the World Wide Web.
<http://www.beaconhill.org/BHISudies/Windmills2004/CapeWindEconAnalysis31604.pdf>
- Hoen, B. (2006). *Impact of Windmill Visibility on Property Values in Madison County, New York*. Unpublished Project Report Submitted to the Faculty of the Bard Centre for Environmental Policy. Bard College, Annandale on Hudson, New York.
- Hoen, B., Wisner, R., Cappers, P., Thayer, M. and Sethi, G. (2010). *Wind Energy Facilities and Residential Properties: The Effect of Proximity and View on Sales Prices*. Unpublished paper funded by the Office of Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy (Wind and Hydropower Technologies Program) of the US Department of Energy.
- Khatri, M. (2004). RICS Wind Farm Research: Impact of Wind Farms on the Value of Residential Property and Agricultural Land. Royal Institute of Chartered Surveyors. Retrieved 8 August 2006 from the World Wide Web.
<http://www.rics.org/Property/Residentialproperty/Residentialpropertymarket/wind+farms+hit+house+prices.htm>
- Sims, S., Dent, P. and Oskrochi, G.R. (2008). Modeling the Impact of Wind Farms on House Prices in the UK. *International Journal of Strategic Property Management*. 12(4): 251-269.
- Sirmans, G.S., Macpherson, D.A. et al. (2005). The Composition of Hedonic Pricing Models. *Journal of Real Estate Literature*, 13(1), 3-42.

Sterzinger, G. et al. (2003). *The Effect of Wind Development on Property Values, Renewable Energy Policy Project*. Prepared for the Renewable Energy Policy Program. Retrieved 14 July 2006 from the World Wide Web.
http://www.repp.org/articles/static/1/binaries/wind_online_final.pdf

Appendix

Table 2: Regression Estimates

Dependent Variable: The Logarithmic form of the nominal total sale price of each house sold

Explanatory Variables	Ashhurst/ 2005-2008				Ashhurst/1995-2008				Ashhurst & Milson/2005-2008		
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4) ³	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)
Turbine Visibility	0.008 (0.03)	-	-	-	0.006 (0.22)	-	-	-	-	-	-
Te Apiti (GIS)	-	-0.005 (-1.31)	-	-	-	0.006 (1.69)	-	-	-0.004 (-0.92)	-	-
Te Apiti Count	-	-	0.007 (0.57)	-	-	-	0.001 (0.97)	-	-	0.001 (0.95)	-
Tararua (GIS)	-	-	-	-0.003 (-0.84)	-	-	-	-0.002 (-1.75)	-	-	-0.003 (-1.15)
Ashhurst	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-0.11 (-1.22)	-0.205 (-11.36)	-0.011 (-0.06)
Age	-0.692 (-5.87)	-0.667 (-5.49)	-0.692 (-5.89)	-0.756 (-3.10)	-0.662 (-5.08)	-0.701 (-5.13)	-0.663 (-5.19)	-0.704 (-3.81)	-0.857 (-9.55)	-0.861 (-9.64)	-0.94 (-8.43)
Age ²	0.004 (2.79)	0.004 (2.55)	0.004 (2.78)	0.005 (1.88)	0.003 (2.01)	0.003 (2.20)	0.003 (2.03)	0.003 (1.58)	0.005 (4.85)	0.005 (4.87)	0.006 (-4.19)
Floor Area	0.647 (6.88)	0.655 (7.08)	0.646 (6.88)	0.458 (3.84)	0.842 (13.22)	0.84 (12.58)	0.841 (13.11)	0.88 (11.99)	0.548 (9.53)	0.542 (9.62)	0.459 (7.60)
Floor Area ²	-0.001 (-3.89)	-0.001 (-4.11)	-0.001 (-3.88)	0.000 (-2.04)	-0.001 (-8.20)	-0.001 (-7.70)	-0.001 (-8.11)	-0.001 (-7.43)	0.000 (-4.65)	0.000 (-4.62)	0.000 (-3.10)
Land	0.017 (6.79)	0.017 (6.76)	0.017 (6.76)	0.01 (1.59)	0.012 (6.49)	0.012 (6.50)	0.012 (6.53)	0.011 (5.45)	0.018 (8.20)	0.018 (8.25)	0.016 (5.14)
Sample Size	315	315	315	106	945	945	945	736	883	883	674
R-square	0.80	0.71	0.71	0.61	0.81	0.81	0.81	0.70	0.78	0.78	0.82

Notes: The coefficients of age, age2, floor area, floor area2, and land are multiplied by 100. All regressions include a constant, year dummies, quarter dummies for seasonality, a dummy for summer, dummies for exterior cladding but their coefficients are not reported. t-statistics are in parentheses.

³ Reduced sample size for columns 4, 8 and 11 as data on Tararua turbine visibility was only available for sales between 1995 and 2006

Table 3: Correlations for Ashhurst data for the period 1995 to 2008

	Turbine Visibility	Te Apiti	Te Apiti Count	Tararua	Age	Age ²	Land	Floor Area	Floor Area ²	Brick	Fibrolite	Roughcast	Weatherboard
Turbine Visibility	1.0000												
Teapiti	0.7999	1.0000											
Teapiticount	0.8568	0.7138	1.0000										
Tararua	0.0131	0.0999	0.0340	1.0000									
Age	0.0028	-0.0183	0.0266	0.1896	1.0000								
Age ²	0.0328	0.0002	0.0507	0.1370	0.9627	1.0000							
land	-0.0506	-0.0568	-0.0537	0.0194	0.3871	0.3953	1.0000						
Floor Area	-0.0184	-0.0359	-0.0305	-0.0720	0.0438	0.0595	0.3047	1.0000					
Floor Area ²	-0.0302	-0.0332	-0.0377	-0.0704	0.0217	0.0321	0.2746	0.9672	1.0000				
Brick	0.0060	-0.0371	0.0167	-0.0899	-0.1680	-0.1691	-0.0301	0.2642	0.2279	1.0000			
Fibrolite	-0.0357	0.0146	-0.0316	-0.1684	-0.3863	-0.3200	-0.1865	-0.2673	-0.2255	-0.2668	1.0000		
Roughcast	0.0002	0.0080	0.0040	0.1012	0.0346	0.0011	-0.0503	0.0421	0.0343	-0.1373	-0.2042	1.0000	
Weatherboard	0.0430	0.0177	0.0363	0.1212	0.4783	0.4475	0.2345	-0.0288	-0.0352	-0.3178	-0.4724	-0.2432	1.0000

Table 4: Correlations for merged Ashhurst and Milson data for the period 2005 to 2008

	Turbine Visibility	Te Apiti	TeApiti count	Tararua	Age	Age ²	Land	Floor Area	Floor Area ²	Brick	Fibrolite	Roughcast	Weather board	Ashhurst
Turbine Visibility	1.0000													
Te Apiti	0.9916	1.0000												
Te Apiti count	0.7840	0.7563	1.0000											
Tararua	0.9966	0.9952	0.7829	1.0000										
Age	0.0752	0.0822	0.0873	0.0795	1.0000									
Age ²	0.0922	0.0987	0.1118	0.0955	0.9399	1.0000								
land	0.3606	0.3681	0.2603	0.3660	0.2564	0.2659	1.0000							
Floor Area	-0.1597	-0.1648	-0.1313	-0.1626	-0.5189	-0.3643	0.0549	1.0000						
Floor Area ²	-0.1344	-0.1437	-0.1202	-0.1390	-0.5005	-0.3458	0.0694	0.9812	1.0000					
Brick	-0.0736	-0.0852	-0.0104	-0.0802	-0.2948	-0.2494	-0.0200	0.3567	0.3090	1.0000				
Fibrolite	0.0994	0.0967	0.0194	0.0957	-0.0672	-0.1413	-0.0833	-0.2631	-0.2491	-0.2758	1.0000			
Roughcast	-0.0796	-0.0739	-0.0571	-0.0733	-0.1546	-0.0771	-0.0200	0.2802	0.2838	-0.2294	-0.2526	1.0000		
Weatherboard	0.0287	0.0361	0.0444	0.0315	0.4518	0.4199	0.0571	-0.3473	-0.3299	-0.3274	-0.3605	-0.2998	1.0000	
Ashhurst	1.0000	0.9916	0.7840	0.9966	0.0752	0.0922	0.3606	-0.1597	-0.1344	-0.0736	0.0994	-0.0796	0.0287	1.0000

LIST OF RECENT DISCUSSION PAPERS

2011

- 11.09 James E. Alvey, *Aristotle's ethics and economics Part II: Politics (high and low)*, November 2011.
- 11.08 James E. Alvey, *Aristotle's ethics and economics Part I: Ethics*, November 2011.
- 11.07 Shamim Shakur, *Macroeconomic Shock Adjustment to Small Open Economies: A Structural Var Model of the New Zealand Economy*, November 2011.
- 11.06 James E. Alvey, *Ethics and economics, today and in the past*, November, 2011.
- 11.05 James Obben, *The Assignment-Examination Relationship and the Probabilistic Performance of Intermediate Macroeconomics Students: 2000-2011*, September 2011.
- 11.04 Kim Hang Pham Do, *Can issue linkage help mitigate externalities and enhance cooperation?* June 2011.
- 11.03 Kim Hang Pham Do, *Saving the Mekong River basin*, June 2011.
- 11.02 Srikanta Chatterjee, *The long march of China and India to prosperity: The progress so far and some constraints*, May 2011.
- 11.01 James E. Alvey, *Plato Part III: Overall comments on Plato's ethics and economics*, April 2011.