Partitioning, Divide-and-Conquer and Pipelining
Partitioning

Partitioning simply divides the problem into parts.

**Divide and Conquer**

Characterized by dividing problem into sub-problems of same form as larger problem. Further divisions into still smaller sub-problems, usually done by recursion.

Recursive divide and conquer amenable to parallelization because separate processes can be used for divided parts. Also usually data is naturally localized.
Partitioning/Divide and Conquer
Examples

Many possibilities.

• Operations on sequences of number such as simply adding them together

• Several sorting algorithms can often be partitioned or constructed in a recursive fashion

• Numerical integration

• $N$-body problem
Partitioning a sequence of numbers into parts and adding the parts.
Tree construction

One CPU per node?
Dividing a list into parts
Partial summation
Quadtree
Dividing an image

Image area

First division into four parts

Second division
Bucket sort

One “bucket” assigned to hold numbers that fall within each region. Numbers in each bucket sorted using a sequential sorting algorithm.

Sequential sorting time complexity: $O(n \log(n/m))$. Works well if the original numbers uniformly distributed across a known interval, say 0 to $a - 1$. 
Parallel version of bucket sort

Simple approach

Assign one processor for each bucket.

Each process sees all the numbers
Partition sequence into $m$ regions, one region for each processor.

Each processor maintains $p$ “small” buckets and separates numbers in its region into its own small buckets.

Small buckets then emptied into $p$ final buckets for sorting, which requires each processor to send one small bucket to each of the other processors (bucket $i$ to processor $i$).
Another parallel version of bucket sort

Can use collective communication - all-to-all broadcast.
“all-to-all” broadcast routine

Sends data from each process to every other process
“all-to-all” routine actually transfers rows of an array to columns: Transposes a matrix.
Numerical integration using rectangles

Each region calculated using an approximation given by rectangles:

Aligning the rectangles:
Numerical integration using trapezoidal method

May not be better!
Adaptive Quadrature

Solution adapts to shape of curve. Use three areas, $A$, $B$, and $C$. Computation terminated when largest of $A$ and $B$ sufficiently close to sum of remain two areas.
Adaptive quadrature with false termination.

Some care might be needed in choosing when to terminate.

Might cause us to terminate early, as two large regions are the same (i.e., $C = 0$).
Pipelined Computations

Problem divided into a series of tasks that have to be completed one after the other (the basis of sequential programming). Each task executed by a separate process or processor.
Example

Add all the elements of array \texttt{a} to an accumulating sum:

```c
for (i = 0; i < n; i++)
    sum = sum + a[i];
```

The loop could be “unrolled” to yield

```c
sum = sum + a[0];
sum = sum + a[1];
sum = sum + a[2];
sum = sum + a[3];
sum = sum + a[4];
```

...
Pipeline for an unrolled loop
Another Example

Frequency filter - Objective to remove specific frequencies ($f_0$, $f_1$, $f_2$, $f_3$, etc.) from a digitized signal, $f(t)$. Signal enters pipeline from left:
Where pipelining can be used to good effect

Assuming problem can be divided into a series of sequential tasks, pipelined approach can provide increased execution speed under the following three types of computations:

1. If more than one instance of the complete problem is to be executed

2. If a series of data items must be processed, each requiring multiple operations

3. If information to start next process can be passed forward before process has completed all its internal operations
“Type 1” Pipeline Space-Time Diagram

$P_0$  $P_1$  $P_2$  $P_3$  $P_4$  $P_5$  $p - 1$  $m$

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Instance 1</th>
<th>Instance 2</th>
<th>Instance 3</th>
<th>Instance 4</th>
<th>Instance 5</th>
<th>Instance 6</th>
<th>Instance 7</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$P_0$</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$P_1$</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$P_2$</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$P_3$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$P_4$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$P_5$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Time
Alternative space-time diagram
“Type 2” Pipeline Space-Time Diagram

Input sequence $d_9d_8d_7d_6d_5d_4d_3d_2d_1d_0$ → $P_0 \rightarrow P_1 \rightarrow P_2 \rightarrow P_3 \rightarrow P_4 \rightarrow P_5 \rightarrow P_6 \rightarrow P_7 \rightarrow P_8 \rightarrow P_9$

(a) Pipeline structure

(b) Timing diagram

$p - 1$ → $n$
“Type 3” Pipeline Space-Time Diagram

Pipeline processing where information passes to next stage before previous state completed.

(a) Processes with the same execution time

(b) Processes not with the same execution time
If the number of stages is larger than the number of processors in any pipeline, a group of stages can be assigned to each processor:
Strictly speaking pipeline may not be the best structure for a cluster - however a cluster with switched direct connections, as most have, can support simultaneous message passing.
Example Pipelined Solutions
(Examples of each type of computation)
Pipeline Program Examples

Adding Numbers

Type 1 pipeline computation
Basic code for process $Pi$ :

```c
recv(&accumulation, Pi-1);
accumulation = accumulation + number;
send(&accumulation, Pi+1);
```

except for the first process, $P0$, which is

```c
send(&number, P1);
```

and the last process, $Pn-1$, which is

```c
recv(&number, Pn-2);
accumulation = accumulation + number;
```
if (process > 0) {
    recv(&accumulation, Pi-1);
    accumulation = accumulation + number;
}
if (process < n-1)
    send(&accumulation, Pi+1);

The final result is in the last process.

Instead of addition, other arithmetic operations could be done.
Pipelined addition of numbers

Master process and ring configuration
Sorting Numbers

A parallel version of *insertion sort*. 
Pipeline for sorting using insertion sort

Series of numbers $x_{n-1} \ldots x_1 x_0$

$P_0$: Compare

$P_1$: Smaller numbers

$P_2$: Next largest number

Largest number

Type 2 pipeline computation
The basic algorithm for process $Pi$ is

```c
recv(&number, Pi-1);
if (number > x) {
    send(&x, Pi+1);
    x = number;
} else send(&number, Pi+1);
```

With $n$ numbers, number $i$th process is to accept = $n - i$. Number of passes onward = $n - i - 1$
Hence, a simple loop could be used.
Insertion sort with results returned to master process using bidirectional line configuration
Insertion sort with results returned

- Sorting phase: \(2n - 1\)
- Returning sorted numbers: \(n\)

Shown for \(n = 5\)
Prime Number Generation
Sieve of Eratosthenes

- Series of all integers generated from 2.
- First number, 2, is prime and kept.
- All multiples of this number deleted as they cannot be prime.
- Process repeated with each remaining number.
- The algorithm removes non-primes, leaving only primes.
The code for a process, $Pi$, could be based upon

```c
recv(&x, Pi-1);
/* repeat following for each number */
recv(&number, Pi-1);
if ((number % x) != 0) send(&number, P i+1);
```

Each process will not receive the same number of numbers and is not known beforehand. Use a “terminator” message, which is sent at the end of the sequence:

```c
recv(&x, Pi-1);
for (i = 0; i < n; i++) {
    recv(&number, Pi-1);
    if (number == terminator) break;
    (number % x) != 0) send(&number, P i+1);
}
```
Solving a System of Linear Equations
Upper-triangular form

\[
a_{n-1,0}x_0 + a_{n-1,1}x_1 + a_{n-1,2}x_2 \quad \ldots \quad + a_{n-1,n-1}x_{n-1} = b_{n-1}
\]

\[
\vdots 
\]

\[
a_{2,0}x_0 + a_{2,1}x_1 + a_{2,2}x_2 = b_2
\]

\[
a_{1,0}x_0 + a_{1,1}x_1 = b_1
\]

\[
a_{0,0}x_0 = b_0
\]

where \( a \)'s and \( b \)'s are constants and \( x \)'s are unknowns to be found.
Back Substitution

First, unknown $x_0$ is found from last equation; i.e.,

$$x_0 = \frac{b_0}{a_{0,0}}$$

Value obtained for $x_0$ substituted into next equation to obtain $x_1$; i.e.,

$$x_1 = \frac{b_1 - a_{1,0}x_0}{a_{1,1}}$$

Values obtained for $x_1$ and $x_0$ substituted into next equation to obtain $x_2$:

$$x_2 = \frac{b_2 - a_{2,0}x_0 - a_{2,1}x_1}{a_{2,2}}$$

and so on until all the unknowns are found.
First pipeline stage computes $x_0$ and passes $x_0$ onto the second stage, which computes $x_1$ from $x_0$ and passes both $x_0$ and $x_1$ onto the next stage, which computes $x_2$ from $x_0$ and $x_1$, and so on.
The $i$th process ($0 < i < n$) receives the values $x_0, x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_{i-1}$ and computes $x_i$ from the equation:

$$x_i = \frac{b_i - \sum_{j=0}^{i-1} a_{i,j}x_j}{a_{i,i}}$$
Sequential Code

Given constants $a_{i,j}$ and $b_k$ stored in arrays $a[ ][ ]$ and $b[ ]$, respectively, and values for unknowns to be stored in array, $x[ ]$, sequential code could be

\[
\begin{align*}
x[0] &= b[0]/a[0][0]; \quad /* \text{computed separately} */ \\
&x[i] = (b[i] - \sum_{j=0}^{i-1} a[i][j] x[j])/a[i][i]; \quad /* \text{for remaining unknowns} */
\end{align*}
\]
Pseudocode of process $P_i$ ($1 < i < n$) could be:

```c
for (j = 0; j < i; j++) {
    recv(&x[j], Pi-1);
    send(&x[j], Pi+1);
}
sum = 0;
for (j = 0; j < i; j++)
    sum = sum + a[i][j]*x[j];
x[i] = (b[i] - sum)/a[i][i];
send(&x[i], Pi+1);
```

Now have additional computations to do after receiving and resending values.
Pipeline processing using back substitution

Processes

$P_0$  $P_1$  $P_2$  $P_3$  $P_4$  $P_5$

Time

First value passed onward

Final computed value