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INTRODUCTION

This document is one of a set of six background documents that address the policy and practice context within which services are delivered to youth in New Zealand. Together the documents cover the areas of youth justice, care and protection, mental health, health and disability and educational services that are additional to mainstream schooling. A final document examines the policy and practice context around youth transition services. These documents provide background information for a youth research programme that focuses upon the needs and issues confronted by vulnerable youth with complex needs; the Pathways to Resilience project and the Youth Transitions Study. The Pathways to Resilience project examines the role of services and youth resilience in good outcomes for youth exposed to risk, and the Youth Transitions study explores the ways in which youth with complex needs navigate a pathway to young adulthood with a specific focus on engagement in education, transitions to employment and identity formation processes through this period of emerging adulthood.

In New Zealand youth justice services are provided by a statutory agency, Child Youth and Family services, which has national coverage, and services are also delivered by a diverse range of NGO providers.

LEGAL FRAMEWORKS

New Zealand’s Youth Justice system is governed by the Children, Young Persons and Their Families (CYPF) Act\(^1\) 1989 which sets out youth justice principles and procedures and guides the functions of the Youth Court.

The youth justice components of the Act aim to divert young people from the formal criminal justice system where possible, while holding them accountable and providing support for both young people and their families. Section 4 (f) states that one of the objects of the CYPF Act (1989) is “…to promote the well-being of children, young persons and their families and family groups by-…

(i) they are held accountable, and encouraged to accept responsibility, for their behaviour; and

(ii) they are dealt with in a way that acknowledges their needs and that will give them the opportunity to develop in responsible, beneficial, and socially acceptable ways:…”

The system employs principles of restorative justice and victims are invited to be involved in deciding on consequences for the offender. The diversionary approach of the Act has been described as “one of it’s biggest successes” \(\text{(Becroft, 2009a, p.3)}\) and sets New Zealand’s youth justice system apart from that of many other western nations\(^2\).

The specific youth justice principles of the Act are that:

- Diversionary approaches (warnings, diversionary plans etc) should be used unless public interest requires otherwise
• Family, whanau & victims should be involved in deciding how the offender should be dealt with, via an FGC
• Care & protection matters should be dealt with in the Family Court
• Child or young person should be kept in their own community
• The age of offender influences the sanction
• Sanctions must consider the offender’s development within his/her family
• The vulnerability of children entitles them to special protection during police investigations
• Victims’ interests must be considered
• Care & Protection principles (refer to separate paper on Care and Protection) may also apply.

These principles are consistent with New Zealand’s obligations under international human rights law.

The Act regards the offender’s family and community as the most appropriate setting to deal with the causes of youth offending and as such they should be involved in decision making and planning for the offender’s future. Brown refers to a “communitarian concept” underlying the act, with “attachments which evoke obligation to others within a community of concern...profound group obligations.” (Brown, 1995, p.2). He also refers to the idea of reintegrative shaming: “we love you but that is unacceptable behaviour” (Brown, 1995, p.5).

Under the current legislation, around 80% of young people (14 to 16 years) who are dealt with by police are diverted from the formal court process and are subject to alternative actions organised by police and community organisations. For the approximately 20% of young people who are accused of committing offences that are more serious, police respond by asking for an intention-to-charge family group conference (FGC), or by filing charges in the Youth Court. When a young person has been charged with a more serious (purely indictable) offence, they may be denied Youth Court jurisdiction, or, after having the charge proved in the Youth Court, be transferred to the District Court for sentence.

Children (aged 10 to 13 years) whose behaviour is causing concern due to their offending are dealt with by the Family Court, with the option of a declaration that they are in need or care and protection (requiring a Family Group Conference). A child aged 12 or 13 may face charges in the Youth Court under special circumstances, but only if a judge decides that the case would not be better dealt with in the Family Court or in some other way. Children and young people who have been charged with manslaughter or murder, have their committal proceedings take place in the Youth Court, while trial and sentencing takes place in the High Court where the child is dealt with as an adult.

UNROC also provides guidance regarding the rights of young people with at least two articles specifically focussed on our responses to youth offending/youth justice:
• Article 37 - The right not be punished in a cruel, degrading or hurtful way or deprivation of liberty unlawfully or arbitrarily, and that arrest, detention or imprisonment to be as a last resort and for the shortest appropriate period; the right, if deprived of liberty to be treated with humanity and respect for dignity
• Article 40 - The right to a range of protections for children accused of breaking the law.

POLICY
Youth Justice policy in New Zealand is led by the Ministry of Justice with input from other agencies such as Child Youth and Family/Ministry of Social Development, the Police, Health and Education. The recent change of government has placed new focus on youth justice, with one of the incoming government’s election platforms being a ‘tougher stance’ (Key, 2009) on youth offending. The following section outlines current youth justice policies that impact on high needs children and young people.

Youth Offending Strategy
The Youth Offending Strategy, released in 2002, was the result of the 2000 Ministerial Taskforce on Youth Offending. The Strategy provides guidance to government about youth justice policy, and assists in coordinating services to young offenders.

The Strategy identified seven key areas for future development:

1. Coordination and Leadership of agencies involved in prevention and responses to youth offending. This led to the development of National level coordination bodies (Minister’s Group, the Youth Justice Leadership group and the Youth Justice Independent Advisory Group) and local (interagency) youth offending teams
2. Information about youth offending (youth justice dataset, research & evaluation of interventions) in order to support effective intervention, policy and practice
3. Early Intervention – provision of and support for appropriate interventions with families and whanau
4. Children and Young People at risk - supporting interventions targeting at-risk children and young people, including those provided by NGOs
5. First Contact with Police – focussing on diversion and providing guidance to police on interaction with young offenders
6. Family Group Conferences – in particular improving the information available in an FGC to inform decision making
7. Serious Young Offenders – provision of comprehensive and intensive responses to reduce future offending. This has led to the development of the Reducing Youth Offending Programme and the Te Hurihanga programme, both intensive rehabilitative services for serious young offenders.
The Youth Offending Strategy continues to direct the way agencies work with young offenders, and work is currently underway across a range of agencies to address its specific recommendations.

**Fresh Start Package**
In August 2009 the new National led government announced its Fresh Start package of policies designed to extend the current range of options available to deal with youth offending.

These policies include:
- Extending Youth Court powers to include 12 and 13 year olds charged with serious offences (currently children up to 14 years are dealt with in the Family Court)
- Increased power to the Youth Court to issue new orders. These include parenting orders (compelling parents to undertake parenting programmes), mentoring for youth and drug and alcohol treatment
- Extended sentences for the worst offenders including longer supervision with activity and supervision with residence orders (double the current duration), military style activity camp programmes supported by community based mentoring, supervised bail programmes and electronically monitored court orders
- Providing funding for additional supervision with activity programmes.
- Community Youth Development programmes, targeted at low level offenders or those at risk of offending (Ministry of Social Development (MSD), 2009b; Key, 2009).

The Children, Young Person’s and Their Families (Youth Courts Jurisdiction and Orders) Amendment Act came into force on 1 October 2010.

The initial evaluations of the Fresh Start package indicate positive outcomes, including reduced youth re-offending and an increase in the number of young people engaged in community-based Fresh Start programmes (such as youth development programmes for low-level offenders, mentoring programmes, parenting support programmes and supported bail programmes) (MSD, 2011).

**Reducing crime and re-offending results action plan**
In July 2012, the Government released the Reducing Crime and Re-offending Results Action Plan as part of their Delivering Better Public Services initiative. The Action Plan details a number of goals relating to reducing crime and re-offending for the entire population. It also contains a target of reducing youth appearances in the courts by 5% by 2017. In practice this will mean 600 fewer court appearances by young people aged 14-16 per year, from 2017. Court appearances are being used as an interim measure for youth offending, to allow the focus to be on the most serious offenders. A new set of youth justice measures are currently being developed by the Justice and Social sectors. Once these are developed, a new target for youth offending will be released (Ministry of Justice, 2012a).
Youth Crime Action Plan

The Youth Crime action plan is a part of the Government’s work to reduce offending by, and victimisation of, children and young people. It aims to improve the support available to, and the coordination between frontline youth justice staff, service providers, families, schools and communities by evaluating the current system and recommending changes. There are six key objectives in the Action Plan:

- Increase opportunities for early and sustainable exits from the youth justice system, particularly for Māori.
- Reduce the flow through the youth justice system by improving integration between agencies and NGO partners to intervene in youth offending earlier, particularly with Māori young people and their whānau.
- Improve data collection and use to better understand flows through the youth justice system and intervention effectiveness, with particular emphasis on Courts, Police and CYF.
- Improve delivery of interventions and services to ensure they are properly coordinated and improve outcomes.
- Create an outcomes framework that enables whānau and community groups to develop innovative local solutions.
- Improve how agencies work together to prevent children and young people from offending and reoffending (Ministry of Justice, 2012b).

The action plan will be led by the Ministry of Justice, and responsibility for it is shared by Child Youth and Family, the Ministry of Social Development, the Police and Te Puni Kokiri. The plan is expected to be released in 2013.

Social Sector Trials

The Social Sector Trials aim to explore the effects of shifting responsibility for resource management, decision-making, accountability and the coordination of activities for youth (aged 12-18) from the government to NGOs and individuals employed at the local level. This initiative involves the Ministries of Social Development, Justice, Health and Education, and the New Zealand Police. It is based in six locations around the country – Te Kuiti, Tokoroa, Taumarunui, Levin, Kawerau and Gore. It is hoped that the initiative will strengthen community networks, reduce truancy, increase engagement with education and employment, reduce youth offending and reduce the use of alcohol and other drugs by young people. Examples of the initiatives being put in place include the creation of a Youth Wellness Centre with all resources provided by community organisations, the creation of youth mentoring programmes, additional support programmes for offenders, youth coordinators in schools to provide wrap-around services and the creation of programmes to promote positive cultural identity. Following the completion of the trial in February 2013, a cross-sector evaluation will be undertaken.
SERVICE DELIVERY

Delivery of youth justice services involves a range of agencies (both government and community) and individuals.

The Police are generally responsible for initial responses to youth offences, warning or apprehending young people. Police Youth Aid organise diversion for young people who are referred to them.

Child Youth and Family organise Family Group Conferences for children or young people where these are required. A child or young person may also be allocated a Youth Justice social worker through Child Youth and Family. CYF also provides a limited number of residential placements for child and youth offenders. The youth justice residences in New Zealand are:

- Korowai Manaaki in South Auckland which can have up to 40 young people
- Lower North in Palmerston North (male only residence) which can have up to 40 young men
- Te Puna Wai o Tuhinapo in Christchurch which can have up to 40 young people
- Te Maioha o Parekarangi in Rotorua which can house up to 30 young people, opened in October 2010.

The Court system becomes involved for more serious offences. As described in the ‘processes’ section in the Child Protection paper which complements this report, a child or young person may be seen before the Family Court, the Youth Court, the District Court or the High Court depending on their age and the seriousness of their offence. Young People appearing before the Youth Court are appointed a Youth Advocate who is a youth specific lawyer, funded by the Crown.

Programmes intended to rehabilitate and address offending behaviour are largely delivered through community agencies and Iwi Social Services. For example, CYF funds:

- “community-based treatment providers to care for sex-offenders and
- a network of providers who offer supervision with activity programmes for serious young offenders” (CYF & MSD, 2008, p.20).

Youth Justice Process

When a child or young person is alleged to have committed an offence, the police have four options:

- Take no action
- Issue a warning (which is recorded but cannot be used in the future)
- Make a referral to Police Youth Aid (higher tariff than a warning)
  - Police Youth Aid may issue a warning or organise diversion (typically a young person will only be given diversion once). If they believe the young person should be charged, they ask for an FGC to be convened
• The FGC needs to establish whether the young person admits the offence. If they do, the FGC can make plans and recommendations including a formal police caution, diversion, prosecution, or a care & protection plan. If the young person does not admit the offence then court proceedings may commence.

• Arrest without a warrant
  o If a young person is arrested they can be held in police or social services custody, or released into the care of parents, guardians or social services
  o If the young person is taken into custody, they are brought before the Youth Court
  o The Youth Court may release the young person into family or guardians care, or remand them in custody of Police or CYF.

The process comprises of “the decision to initiate criminal proceedings, the laying of an information and commencement of court proceedings, the trial and sentencing.” (Parliamentary Library, 2009). While proceedings usually occur in the Youth Court, if the young person (aged 14 to 17) has committed a ‘serious’ (purely indictable) offence s/he can also be tried and sentenced in either the District or High Courts as if s/he were an adult.

The Youth Court is able to make a range of orders on the youth involved, including (from most lenient to least):
  • Discharge without further penalty
  • Admonish the young person
  • Order to appear within 12 months if called
  • A fine
  • Payment toward prosecution costs
  • Payment of reparation to victim
  • To make restitution of property as provided for in the Crimes Act 1961
  • To forfeit property
  • Disqualification from driving / confiscation of motor vehicle
  • Parenting order against the young person and/or their parents
  • Mentoring programme order
  • Drug and alcohol rehabilitation order
  • Supervision order (young person to be supervised by the CE of Child Youth and Family or a specified person or organisation (including an Iwi social service) for no more than 6 months
  • Orders for community work, supervision with activity or supervision with residence
  • Order to appear before the District Court for sentence or decision.

Initial processes are similar for children (those aged 10 and up to but not including 14 years) who have committed an offence with regard to police response. Court processes however differ significantly:
  • Children who have committed a serious offence are dealt with by the Family Court and a declaration may be required that the child is in need
of care and protection. Children aged 12 and 13 who have committed a serious offence can either be dealt with by the Family Court or the Youth Court, at the judge’s discretion.

- Children charged with murder or manslaughter have their committal proceedings in the Youth Court, and trial and sentencing in the High Court which “deals with the child as if he or she were an adult” (Parliamentary Library, 2009, p.13).

If a young person does not comply with FGC plans or court orders there are a range of possible outcomes. If the person is under 10 years there is no criminal liability (s20 Crimes Act 1961). For children and young people (aged 10-16) matters are referred back the Family Court or the Youth Court.

**STATISTICS**

Many young people have contact with the police or justice system at some point during their teenage years, and the Ministry of Social Development notes that “while about 30 percent of young people are apprehended by the Police at least once, only 1 per cent of all young people become chronic offenders” (MSD, 2008a, p.6). Similarly, the Ministry of Justice states “The majority of offending by young people is minor and short term; nearly half of all known offences committed by young people are rated as of minimum seriousness...” (MSD, 2008b, p.3). Principal Youth Court Judge Andrew Becroft supports this and estimates that 80% of young offenders commit only 20% of offences (Becroft, 2009b) while a minority of young people (estimated between 5-15%) commit serious and/or repeat offences. This minority – referred to as ‘early on-set’ young offenders commits a large proportion (estimated at 40-60%) of all youth offences (Becroft, 2009c).

Statistics on offending tend to focus on two areas. The first area is the number of apprehensions by police, noting an individual may be apprehended more than once a year. Apprehensions tend to be reported as rates per 10,000 people in the population. The second area of reporting is court statistics, for example numbers of court convictions. These count individual offenders. Offending statistics distinguish between children (0-9yrs and 10-13yrs) and young people (14-16yrs) while people aged 17-20 years are considered adults. The following statistics on youth offending are drawn from the Ministry of Justice’s most up to date report on child and youth offending, examining statistics from 1992 to 2008 (Ministry of Justice, 2010). The statistics reported here do not include traffic offences or infringement offences.

**Young People Compared to Population**

In 2008, people aged 17-20 had the highest apprehension rate of all age groups (2153 per 10,000 population) followed by young people aged 14-16 (1572 apprehensions per 10,000). Children aged 0-9 had the lowest apprehension rates of all ages (10 per 10,000) and children 10-13yrs had the 5th lowest rate (out of 7 age groups) at 336 per 10,000.
Child (0-13yrs) and youth (14-16yrs) apprehension rates have declined since 1995, particularly in the last three years, while adult apprehension rates have remained relatively stable (Ministry of Justice, 2010, p.32).

Children and young people are most commonly apprehended for property offences over other types of offences, with these comprising the largest proportion of child (69%) and youth (61%) apprehensions from 1995 to 2008. Individuals aged 14-20 have the highest rates of apprehension for property offences of all age groups. Apprehension rates for property offences have declined over time for both children and young people while the rate of young people with proved court outcomes for property offences has increased.

Since 1995 violence apprehension rates have increased for all age groups except children, whose rates which have remained relatively stable. In 2008, violence apprehension rates for young people 14-16yrs were 198 per 10,000, up 13% on the average for 1992 – 2008. Violence apprehension rates for 17-50 year olds were 177 per 10,000, up 30% on the average for the period 1992-2008. Proved cases involving violence offences by 14-16 year olds have also increased since 1992 although fewer of these are being convicted in the district or high court.

**Child Offending**

As noted above, in 2008 children aged 10-13 had the third lowest apprehension rate of all age groups, and children 0-10 had the lowest rate overall. These apprehensions were most commonly for property offences. Overall, child apprehension rates decreased from 1995 to 2008 although rates for violence have remained stable rather than decreased (Ministry of Justice, 2010).

Of the 8050 child (10-13) apprehensions made in 2008:
- 41.7% received a warning or caution\(^{10}\)
- 49.5% received diversion (Police Youth Aid alternative action)
- 3.6% were subject of a youth justice FGC
- 2.0% of child apprehensions were referred to the Family Court for a declaration of being in need of care and protection on the basis of the child’s offending behaviour (the most serious way of dealing with child offending) (Ministry of Justice, 2010, p.167).

The use of warning or caution with children trended upward between 1995 and 2008, while the use of diversion showed an overall decrease in the same period. Applications for Declaration that a child (aged 10 and up to but not including 14 years) is in need of care and protection on the basis of the child’s offending behaviour increased over the same period (Ministry of Justice, 2010).

While police apprehensions of children have decreased, the numbers of child offenders dealt with by Child Youth and Family are growing. In 2002 CYF reported dealing with 126 child offenders, in 2007 they dealt with 239 (CYF & MSD, 2008, p.26). This likely reflects the increase in Applications for Declaration described above and may suggest offences committed by children have become more
serious, or that police responses to these offences may have become more serious.

Readers are reminded that in most instances children are dealt with in the Family Court, and while in exceptional cases they may be dealt with in the Youth or High Courts, prosecution rates for 10-13 year olds from 1992 to 2008 remain steady at 0 per 10,000 population. This is likely to reflect the small size of the number of children convicted in the Youth and High Courts.

**Youth Offending**

The majority of youth apprehensions are resolved without requiring court involvement. Of the 29,846 youth (14-16yrs) apprehensions made in 2008:

- 23.3% received a warning or caution
- 38.5% received diversion (Police Youth Aid alternative action)
- 6% were subject of intention-to-charge FGCs
- Only 28.6% were prosecuted (Ministry of Justice, 2010, p.169).

The use of diversion with young people (14-16yrs) has generally trended downward from 54.9% in 1995 to 38.5% in 2008. Youth prosecutions trended upward, from 13.2% in 1995 to 28.6% in 2008 (Ministry of Justice, 2010, p.169).

As discussed earlier, while children (0-13) usually appear before the Family Court and youth (14-16) usually appear before the Youth Court, there are some cases in which children and young people may appear before the District or High courts.

In 2008, overall prosecution rates for young people (14-16yrs) were 225 per 10,000 population. Of these:

- Most were heard in the Youth Court
- Most (104 per 10,000 population) were discharged in the Youth Court as if the charge had never been laid under s282 of the CYPF Act. The rate of section 282 discharges has steadily increased since 1992 when the s282 discharge rate was 28 per 10,000
- 65 per 10,000 were proved in the Youth Court, continuing the trend of increasing proved outcomes since 1992
- 9 per 10,000 were convicted in an adult court (convictions in adult courts have generally trended down since 1997)
- 46 per 10,000 were not proved in both the adult and Youth Court, continuing the trend of decreasing not proved outcomes (Ministry of Justice, 2010, p.190).

Approximately 0.6% of the total population aged 14-16 had a proved prosecution outcome resulting in a Youth Court order in 2008.

**Gender**

Male child and youth apprehension rates decreased between 1995-2008. Female child and youth apprehension rates over the same period remained steady and consequently the proportion of apprehensions attributable to females is larger.
than in the past. Both male and female prosecution rates have increased since 1992.

Young males more frequently appear in court than young females. In 2008, 3432 males appeared in the youth, district or high courts, compared to 836 females (Ministry of Justice, 2010, p.17).

**Ethnicity**

“In 2008, the estimated proportion of NZ European 14 to 16 year olds was 3.3 times greater than their Maori cohort, while Pacific 14 to 16 year olds estimated population was about half that of Maori.” (Ministry of Justice, 2010, p.18). In this context:

- The 2008 apprehension rate for Maori children is five times higher than for Pakeha and Pacific children
- The 2008 apprehension rate for Maori young people is three times higher than for Pakeha and Pacific young people
- The 2008 prosecution rate for young Maori, at 518 per 10,000 is much higher than the prosecution rate for Pakeha (99 per 10,000) and Pacific (213 per 10,000) young people.

**Recidivism**

A study of 4945 offenders released from prison between 2002-2003 showed that those under the age of 20 had the highest reconviction and re-imprisonment rates of all offenders. “Offenders who commence their offending careers during their teenage years are considerably more likely to become persistent offenders, particularly if their initial crimes are ones that result in a prison sentence” (Department of Corrections, 2009, p.26).

In a 2002 study, 20% of a sample of 1438 young people reoffended within 18 months. Those dealt with by diversion tended to reoffend at a lower rate than those dealt with by more serious responses such as Youth Court prosecution (51% reoffended within 18 months) or intention to charge FGC (37% reoffended within 18 months) (Maxwell & Paulin, 2002).

More recent statistics show that “25.8% of 16 year olds with [court] proved outcomes in 2008 had a previous proved outcome in 2007, compared to 16% of 15 year olds and 3.4% of 14 year olds” (Ministry of Justice, 2010).

**Child Youth and Family Services**

At 30 June 2008, 2328 children and young people were in receipt of a youth justice social work service (CYF, 2008, p.14). The number of Youth Justice FGCs held decreased in 2009 after increasing slightly between 2006/07 and 2007/08.
Table 1. Young Offenders involved in Youth Justice Family Group Conferences

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age of child or young person when conference was held</th>
<th>2007 Number</th>
<th>2008 Number</th>
<th>2009 Number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10-13 years</td>
<td>196</td>
<td>219</td>
<td>204</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14-17 years</td>
<td>7,571</td>
<td>7,723</td>
<td>7,225</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18 years or over</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not recorded</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total new conferences held</td>
<td>7,803</td>
<td>7,964</td>
<td>7,439</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Number of other conferences held                     |            |             |             |
| Reconvened Conferences                               | 1,242      | 1,113       | 1,172       |
| Review Conferences                                   | 114        | 119         | 118         |
| Total other conferences held                         | 1,356      | 1,232       | 1,290       |

| All conferences held                                 | 9,159      | 9,196       | 8,729       |

Note:
- Number of conferences held in the years ended June
- Number of conferences may not relate to numbers of children or young people, as there may be more than one conference related to a particular individual in the same year.
- Age of child or young person is as at the time of the Family Group Conference, and may not reflect their age at the time of the offending which led to the conference.

Source: MSD, 2010, p.225

COSTS

Government
The following tables outline estimates of appropriations for Youth Justice services reported in Treasury documents. It is very difficult to calculate exact figures for government expenditure on these service types. Money is allocated from a number of different Votes and within Votes it is allocated across a number of categories. These figures are therefore indicative only.

Table 2. 2012/2013 Government Appropriations for Youth Justice

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Vote</th>
<th>Amount Appropriated 12/13</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Youth Justice Services¹²</td>
<td>Social Development¹³</td>
<td>132.440m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social Policy Advice</td>
<td>Social Development</td>
<td>30.550m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Counselling and rehabilitation services (for children, young people and families - purchase in)¹⁹</td>
<td>Social Development</td>
<td>17.829m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intensive Intervention for Serious Recidivist Young Offenders</td>
<td>Justice</td>
<td>n/a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Youth Court Professional Fees</td>
<td>Courts</td>
<td>$8.0m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>$193.673m</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes
- **Included**
  - The Social Policy Advice figure includes advice on all social policy issues, not just youth justice (and thus will overestimate the overall care and protection spend)

- **Excluded**
  - Vote Social Development figures do not include the following (which may support social service responses to youth justice issues)
Any spending on youth justice through Family and Community Services
• Development and Funding of Community services (Management of government funding of community based social and welfare services) appropriation (8.227m)
• Children’s Commission appropriation (2.157m)
• Families Commission appropriation (7.124m)
• Connected Communities appropriation (15.079m)
• Strengthening Providers and Communities appropriations (2.382m)
• Independent Youth Benefit (1.632m)
• Orphan’s/Unsupported Child’s Benefit (114.879m)
• Youth payment and Young parent payment (38.272m)

Vote Justice figures do not include costs of legal aid/youth advocates, support for victims of youth crime, prevention services or policy advice
• Police spending (e.g. costs of policing youth crime; Youth Aid services, Youth Services Teams, Youth Development Programmes)
• Court costs related to care and protection matters (noting Family Court costs are not distinguished from District Court Costs in Treasury Appropriations and thus have been excluded from this list. District Court appropriations for 2012/13 are 221.421m).

2008 Actual spend on Youth Justice Services was $100,724,000 (MSD, 2009c, p.55).

Non-Government
Some components of youth justice services are government funded and included under the appropriations above for MSD/CYF and Justice. As noted in relation to care and protection, the community sector (e.g. NGOs which provide youth justice support services such as Life to the Max programmes, Foundation for Youth Development, some Iwi Social Services) also makes a financial contribution to youth justice services, the costs of which are difficult to calculate but should not be underestimated. For example, the Office for Community and Voluntary Sector notes that over a 12 month period 301,000 people supported youth services and welfare (volunteered, donated or other) (OCVS, 2010).

WORKFORCE
CYF employs 2952 staff (CYF, 2008, p.21). At March 2010 CYF employed 106 Youth Justice FGC Coordinators (Coordinators)\(^\text{15}\), and 107 Youth Justice Social Workers, 35 short of its full complement. Forty additional Youth Justice Social Workers are due be employed by CYF in conjunction with Fresh Start Initiatives\(^\text{16}\). Coordinators have a key role in the youth justice process for young people, facilitating FGCs and monitoring FGC outcomes in some cases (depending on the offence and the FGC plan). Youth Justice social workers are allocated at certain points, generally after the FGC. They work with young offenders and monitor court orders and FGC outcomes in some cases.

There are currently 250 Police Youth Aid Officers in New Zealand\(^\text{17}\). Youth Aid Officers manage police services for young people who offend or are at risk of doing so, as well dealing with child protection issues. As well as law enforcement, police youth aid officers aim to coordinate services for young people in an effort to prevent offending, and are often involved in community activities for youth.
SUMMARY

New Zealand’s Youth Justice system is governed by the Children, Young Persons and Their Families Act 1989. The Act takes an innovative approach, aiming to divert young people from the formal criminal justice system where possible, while holding them accountable and providing support for both young people and their families. The Youth Justice system employs principles of restorative justice and victims are invited to be involved in deciding on consequences for the offender.

The Youth Offending Strategy (2002) provides guidance to government about youth justice policy, and assists in coordinating services to young offenders. The recently introduced Fresh Start package extends the range of options available to deal with youth offending including extending youth court powers, creating longer sentences to better deal with the worst offenders and extending community youth development programmes.

Delivery of youth justice services involves a range of agencies. The Police are generally responsible for initial responses to youth offences and Police Youth Aid organise diversion where possible. CYF organise Youth Justice Family Group Conferences when required and may allocate a Youth Justice social worker. CYF also provides limited youth justice residential placements.

When diversion is not possible, a specialist Youth Court deals with most youth (aged 14-16). Prior to being heard in the youth court, a youth justice family group conference is held involving family, whanau and victims who decide how the offender should be dealt with. In more serious and ‘purely indictable’ cases a young person may be tried and sentenced in the District or High Court. Child offenders (10-13 years) are dealt with in the Family Court or in more serious cases the Youth or High Court. The Youth Court can make orders ranging from discharging the case, to fining the young person, through to supervision orders and orders for the offender’s parents to undertake a parenting course. Programmes intended to rehabilitate and address offending behaviour are largely delivered through community agencies and Iwi Social Services.

While many young people have contact with the police or justice system in their teenage years estimates suggest only 1 per cent become chronic offenders (MSD, 2008c, p.6). Some experts believe a small group of ‘early on-set’ offenders commit a large proportion of all youth offences (Becroft, 2009c).

Child and youth apprehension rates have declined since 1995. While apprehensions are most commonly for property offences, violence apprehensions for 14-16 year olds have increased in recent years in line with an increase across the population (except for children). In recent years the proportion of apprehensions attributable to females is larger than in the past, this may be related also to a decline in male apprehension rates. Young males more frequently appear in court than young females. Maori young people are disproportionately represented in overall apprehension, prosecution and incarceration rates.
Recidivist offending is problematic among young people. In a 2002 study, 20% of a sample of 1438 young people reoffended within 18 months\(^9\) (Maxwell & Paulin, 2002).

In 2012/13 the Government appropriated approximately $188.819 million to cover costs associated with youth justice (not including Police costs). Total financial contributions to the youth justice sector by non-government agencies are unknown.

At March 2010 Child Youth and Family CYF employed 107 Youth Justice Social Workers and 106 Youth Justice FGC Coordinators. There are currently 225 Police Youth Aid Officers in New Zealand.
ENDNOTES

1 The overall principles of and history to the Act are described in the earlier section on Care and Protection.
2 E.g. – UK and USA use traditional methods of dealing with offending through the courts, while some Scandanavian countries also use diversion.
3 Within the act a Child is defined as over 10 and under 14 yrs, a young person is defined as over 14 and under 17 yrs.
4 This is the result of a recent change in the legislation. Previously children aged 12 and 13 who had committed a serious offence could only be dealt with in the Family Court following a declaration the child was in need of care and protection.
5 For a full discussion about UNCROC please refer to the Care and Protection Section.
6 A full summary of UNCROC principles is included as Appendix One.
7 At the time of writing these programs were in the early stages of their implementation, although previous research suggests the use of traditional “boot camps” alone is unlikely to reduce youth offending (Lipsey & Cullen 2007; Walker and Brown 1983).
9 Within the Act a Child is defined as over 10 and under 14 yrs, a young person is defined as over 14 and under 17 yrs.
10 “A warning is where an alleged or admitted offence is dealt with by way of Police warning a child or young person. Where an offence is admitted or proved to have been committed by a child or young person, an FGC recommends a formal Police caution, where the child or young person is formally cautioned by Police” (Ministry of Justice, 2010, p.167).
11 “The majority of youths apprehended by police don’t become involved in the Youth Court process and/or FGC’s” (MSD, 2008c, p.137).
12 This category (M63) includes “Social work and other services to manage and resolve offending behaviour by children and young people, by providing assessment, support, programmes, containment and care of young offenders” (Vote Social Development Appropriations 2012/13).
14 Purchased services (from a non-departmental source). This also includes care and protection services.
15 CYF personal communication 19 June 2011.
16 CYF personal communication 6 April 2010.
18 The overall principles of and history to the Act are described in the earlier section on Care and Protection.
19 The Youth Court notes that in international comparisons, this is a normal to good result.
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### APPENDIX ONE: SUMMARY OF UNCROC RIGHTS / PRINCIPLES


<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Article</th>
<th>Summary of rights / principles</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>UNCROC applies to everyone under 18 years of age</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>The right to protection from discrimination or punishment on any grounds*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>The best interests of the child should be a primary consideration*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>The Government should make UNCROC rights a reality</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Government should respect the rights and responsibilities of families to direct and guide their children</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>The rights to life, survival and development*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>The right to a name, to acquire a nationality and to know and be cared for by parents</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>The right to an identity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Children should not be separated from their parents unless it is in their best interests</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Families who live in different countries should be allowed to move between those countries so that parents and children can stay in contact or reunite as a family</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Children should not be taken out of the country illegally</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>The right for children to have an opinion and for that opinion to be heard in all matters affecting the child*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>The right to freedom of expression*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>The right to freedom of thought, conscience and religion*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>The rights to freedom of association and peaceful assembly*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>The right to privacy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>The right of access to all media</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>The principle that parents have primary responsibility for upbringing of child and States to give assistance and ensure institutions, services for care of children</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>The right to protection from harm or maltreatment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>The right to special protection and support for children who cannot live with their parents</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>Best interests of child to be the paramount consideration in system of adoption, and that adoption take place on basis of informed consent, limiting intercountry adoptions and ensuring intercountry adoptions have same safeguards as national adoptions, and preventing financial gain in intercountry adoptions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>The right to special protection and support for refugees and children seeking refugee status</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>The right to special care and education for children who are mentally or physically disabled</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>The right to the highest attainable standard of health and to facilities for treatment and rehabilitation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>The right for children placed away from home to have their treatment reviewed regularly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>The right to benefit from social security</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>The right to an adequate standard of living, including material assistance and support to parents or others responsible for care regarding nutrition food, clothing and housing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>The right to education, and to dignity in administering school discipline</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>The right to the kind of education that develops one’s personality and abilities and encourages a respect for people’s rights and values and the environment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>The right for indigenous children and children in minority groups defined by race, religion or language to enjoy their own culture, practise their own religion, and use their own language</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>The right to recreation and participation in cultural life and the arts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>The right to protection from economic exploitation and work that is bad for health or interferes with education</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td>The right to be protected from dangerous drugs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td>The right to be protected from sexual exploitation and abuse</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>The right to protection from abduction and sale and trafficking</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td>The right to protection from any other kind of exploitation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37</td>
<td>The right not be punished in a cruel, degrading or hurtful way or deprivation of liberty unlawfully or arbitrarily, and that arrest, detention or imprisonment to be as a last resort and for the shortest appropriate period; the right, if deprived of liberty to be treated with humanity and respect for dignity.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38</td>
<td>The right to protection in times of armed conflict; under-15-year-olds should not be eligible to join an armed forces or participate in hostilities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39</td>
<td>The right to help and support for children who have been abused or maltreated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>The right to a range of protections for children accused of breaking the law</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41</td>
<td>States may provide for further compatible rights offered to children above and beyond UNCROC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42</td>
<td>Information about UNCROC should be widely disseminated by the Government</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Articles 43 - 54 detail the processes by which States’ UNCROC commitments are entered into, reported on and administered**

*General principles of UNCROC as determined by the UN Committee on the Rights of the Child*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Optional Protocols to UNCROC</th>
<th>Optional Protocol to the Convention on the Involvement of Children in Armed Conflict (ratified by NZ in 2001)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

These rights may be subject to limitations prescribed in law necessary for the protection of rights or freedoms of others, or the national security, public safety or order, health or morals.
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